



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,674	12/29/2000	Paolo Faraboschi	00-BN-059 (STMI01-00059)	9124
30425	7590 03/22/2006		EXAM	INER
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.			LI, AIMEE J	
MAIL STAT	TON 2346			
1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CARROLLTON, TX 75006			2183	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/751,674 FARABOSCHI ET AL.		
		Art Unit	
	Eddie P. Chan	2183	_
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC	- 1	

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed <u>1/13/2006</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: . 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no f	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance we brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period hever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) Eddie P. Chan. Eddie	(3) <u>Joseph Dixon</u> .
(2) <u>Aimee J. Li</u> .	(4)